IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

DELL INC.,	Defendant.) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
V.) C.A. No. 08-132 (JJF)
WEBXCHANGE INC.,	Plaintiff,)))

PLAINTIFF WEBXCHANGE INC.'S ANSWER TO DEFENDANT DELL'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff WebXchange Inc. ("WebXchange" or "Plaintiff") hereby submits its reply to the Counterclaims filed by Defendant Dell Inc. ("Dell" or "Defendant") on March 26, 2008:

DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 1.
- 2. Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 2.
- 3. Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 3.
- 4. Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 4.
- 5. Plaintiff admits that Defendant claims to reserve its right to supplement with additional defenses, but otherwise denies paragraph 5.

COUNTERCLAIMS

- 6. On information and belief, Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 6.
 - 7. Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 7.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 8. Plaintiff admits that the Counterclaims purport to state a civil cause of action under the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Plaintiff further admits that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a). Except as expressly admitted, Plaintiff denies the allegations of paragraph 8.
- 9. Plaintiff admits that venue is proper but denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 9.

Count One — Declaratory Relief Regarding Non-Infringement

- 10. Plaintiff admits that a controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendant regarding the '178, '556, and '506 patents, but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 10.
- 11. Plaintiff admits that Defendant requests a declaration as to non-infringement, but denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in paragraph 11.

<u>Count Two — Declaratory Relief Regarding Invalidity</u>

- 12. Plaintiff admits that a controversy exists between Plaintiff and Defendant regarding the '178, '556, and '506 patents, but denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 12.
- 13. Plaintiff admits that Defendant requests a declaration as to invalidity, but denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in paragraph 13.

IV. EXCEPTIONAL CASE

14. Plaintiff denies that Defendant is entitled to the relief requested in paragraph 14.

V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

15. Plaintiff admits that Defendant claims to reserve its right to supplement with additional defenses, but otherwise denies paragraph 15.

VI. PRAYER

To the extent that a response is necessary to Defendant's Prayer, Plaintiff denies that Defendant is entitled to any relief, and respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment dismissing Defendant's Counterclaims with prejudice, and entering judgment in favor of Plaintiff.

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/Julia Heaney (#3052)

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Julia Heaney (#3052)
1201 North Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 654-9200
jheaney@mnat.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff WebXchange Inc.

OF COUNSEL:

Lawrence B. Goodwin
Peter J. Toren
Charlotte Pontillo
Stefan R. Stoyanov
Eric Stieglitz
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES
& FRIEDMAN LLP
1633 Broadway
New York, NY 10019
(212) 506-1700

April 18, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 18, 2008 I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing to:

Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire
David E. Moore, Esquire
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

I further certify that I caused to be served copies of the foregoing document on

April 18, 2008 upon the following in the manner indicated:

Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire David E. Moore, Esquire POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP 1313 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Roderick B. Williams, Esquire Christopher V. Ryan, Esquire VINSON & ELKINS L.L.P. The Terrance 7 2801 Via Fortuna Suite 100 Austin, TX 78746-7568 VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

/s/ Julia Heaney (#3052)	
Julia Heaney (#3052)	